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A B S T R A C T
Recent years have witnessed the rapid development and wide adoption of immersive head-mounted devices, such as HTC VIVE, Oculus Rift, and Microsoft HoloLens. These immersive devices have the potential to significantly extend the methodology of urban visual analytics by providing critical 3D context information and creating a sense of presence. In this paper, we propose a theoretical model to characterize the visualizations in immersive urban analytics. Furthermore, based on our comprehensive and concise model, we contribute a typology of combination methods of 2D and 3D visualizations that distinguishes between linked views, embedded views, and mixed views. We also propose a supporting guideline to assist users in selecting a proper view under certain circumstances by considering visual geometry and spatial distribution of the 2D and 3D visualizations. Finally, based on existing work, possible future research opportunities are explored and discussed.
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1. Introduction
1.1. Motivation

Urban visual analytics has been proven useful in solving various problems of urban cities, such as location selection (Liu et al., 2017), urban planning (Huang et al., 2016), and traffic analysis (Guo et al., 2011), by integrating the computational power of machines and the domain knowledge of experts. In urban visual analytics, visual representations of urban data provide a crucial context for exploration and analysis (Andrienko et al., 2007).

Most existing studies of urban visual analytics utilize 2D maps (Zheng et al., 2016) on which every point is viewed overhead. As 2D maps create an abstraction of the real world, the maps lose significant context information on the urban environment, consequently leading to the severe limitations in solving space-related problems in the urban context. First, the lack of depth information of vertical cities poses a significant challenge for making informed decisions in many scenarios. For example, selecting befitting locations to place billboards exclusively based on traffic flow on 2D maps would be difficult for advertising managers (Liu et al., 2017), because candidate locations in vertical cities may be near or under buildings, overpasses, utility wires, and so on. Second, a 2D map that lacks the appearance of the real world cannot provide users with a sense of presence. For example, in a 2D map, both magnificent skyscrapers and tiny bungalows are displayed as polygons. In such a lack of a sense of presence, users cannot fully apply their expertise and domain knowledge to making a confident spatial decision. Expensive field studies are frequently employed. Therefore, growing interest has been observed in applying 3D maps for urban visual analytics (Ferreira et al., 2015; Ortner et al., 2017).

In recent years, various of immersive head-mounted devices, such as HTC VIVE, Oculus Rift, and Microsoft HoloLens, have been invented and adopted in a wide range of settings. The immersive devices use stereoscopic techniques to create a natural support for 3D display, thereby creating an engaging and immersive visual environment (Bach et al., 2016). The significant development and broad adoption of the immersive devices shed new light on visualizing heterogeneous geospatial urban data in such an immersive environment; this field can be referred to as immersive urban analytics.

Recently, researchers from urban visual analytics (Andrienko et al., 2010) and immersive analytics (Bach et al., 2016) have raised questions on how to visualize the abstract data together with 3D models. Abstract data is commonly visualized in a 2D manner,
since the 3D display of the data remains controversial and may cause ambiguity (Munzner, 2014, Chapter 6). By contrast, as a type of physical data, city models can be naturally displayed in 3D. It remains unclear that how to seamlessly display 2D abstract data together with 3D physical city models in an effective way.

To address this issue, we first summarize an abstract model to characterize the visualization in immersive analytics. Based on our model and the fundamental theorem of Euclidean geometry, we propose an innovative typology classifying the ways to visually integrate physical and abstract data into three categories, namely, Linked view, Embedded view, and Mixed view. Furthermore, we conduct preliminary explorations and summarize two plain and comprehensive design considerations, namely, Visual geometry and Spatial distribution, to assist designers in effortlessly choosing the best view under certain circumstances. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our design considerations with several examples.

1.2. Related works

In recent years, with the popularization of low-cost immersive devices such as Leap Motion, Kinect, Oculus Rift and Microsoft HoloLens, an increasing number of researchers are developing an interest in Immersive Analytics, which aims to allow users to immerse themselves in data in a way that supports real-world analytical tasks (Bach et al., 2016; Sadana et al., 2016).

Research on immersive analytics can be classified into categories per computing modalities creating immersive environments, namely, CAVE style system (Bennett et al., 2014; Helbig et al., 2014), mobile augmented reality system (Engelke et al., 2016; ElSayed et al., 2016), data physicalizations system (Taher et al., 2017), and head-mounted display (HMD) (Cordeil et al., 2016, 2017; Kwon et al., 2016; Moran et al., 2015). In this study, we mainly focus on the works about emerging immersive technologies.

Many researchers have conducted studies on HMD-based immersive analytics. Kwon et al. presented a study on layout, rendering, and interaction methods for graph visualization in an HMD virtual reality (VR) environment (Kwon et al., 2016). The result showed that using their techniques is more highly efficient than traditional 2D graph visualization in HMD VR environments. Cordeil et al. developed a visually immersive prototype for collaborative aircraft trajectory visualization based on HMD VR devices (Cordeil et al., 2016). Moran et al. attempted to study the geographic distributions of Twitter data by developing a 3D application utilizing an HMD VR setting (Moran et al., 2015). However, they only displayed tweets with geo-tags on a 3D map. Users can explore those tweets through simple interactions. Baumeister et al. presented two users studies which compare the cognitive load of users using three augmented reality display technologies: spatial augmented reality, the optical see-through Microsoft HoloLens, and the video see-through Samsung Gear VR. Their results suggest that some of the restrictions of HMD increase user cognitive load. However, most of the existing studies focus on abstract data, especially the graph data.

Besides evaluations and techniques, some researchers propose theoretical models to characterize the design space of immersive analytics. Willett et al. introduced embedded visualization (Willett et al., 2017), a theory concept of using visual and physical representations of information that are deeply integrated with the real world. They differentiate situated visualization and embedded visualization based on physical data referents. Bach et al. presented the notion AR-CANVAS (Bach et al., 2017) of the augmented reality canvas for information visualization. Their theory model describes the content from the perspective of users where information visualization is displayed in-situ with respect to visible and invisible real-world objects. However, these works aim at embedding information visualization in the physical real world.

Few researchers in the field of data visualization have conducted explorations on urban data in immersive environments. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first step in the direction of utilizing immersive technologies in urban visual analytics.

2. Terminology

Immersive technologies have been researched for several decades. We only focus on the emerging immersive technologies. These technologies can create various immersive environments which are different from each other. In this section, we first introduce the characteristics of different immersive environments, then we summarize the types of urban data which will be visualized in immersive environments.

2.1. Immersive environments

Immersive environments are the environments created by immersive technologies (Bach et al., 2016). Immersive environments can be roughly classified into three categories, namely, Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR). Several existing works argued and gave formal definitions of VR, AR, and MR. However, since the immersive industry grows rapidly in recent years, most of these works are obsolete. Rather than redefine the concept of these environments, we prefer to identify their distinguishable characteristics, which can help us better understand the environments for visualization.

Virtual reality. We specify the virtual reality (VR) environments as environments created by VR head-mounted display devices, such as HTC Vive, Oculus Rift, Samsung Gear, and Google Cardboard. The cave automatic virtual environments (CAVE) system, which can also create VR environments, is exclusive from our discussion because it is not an emerging technology. The key characteristic of the VR environments is that they fully immerse the users in a digital world and entirely occlude the natural surroundings. Fig. 1 is an example of VR environments. Users can only see the virtual robot sits on a virtual sofa behind a virtual table.

Augmented reality. Azuma et al. defined AR (Azuma, 1997) as systems that have the following three characteristics: (1) combine the real and the virtual, (2) interactive in real time, and (3) (virtual content is) registered in 3D. This definition is well-accepted. However, in recent year, many famous products (e.g. Pokemon Go, Google Glass), which directly overlay the virtual content on top of the real world rather than register them in 3D, claim themselves
as AR. The popularity of these products, especially the mobile and tablets applications, makes consumers misunderstand the concept of AR, thereby leading to a gap between academia (Azuma, 1997; Milgram and Kishino, 1994; Azuma et al., 2001; Milgram et al., 1994; Bimber and Raskar, 2005) and industry (Foundry, 2017; Brown, 2017; Johnson, 2016; Bedian, 2016; Fiarchuk, 2016). In this work, we follow the trend of industry and specify the AR environments as the environments in which the 2D or 3D virtual content is directly overlaid on top of the real world, surrounding users with additional information. Fig. 2 shows an example of AR environments. Users can see a virtual robot with additional digital information overlaid on the real world through the AR system.

**Mixed reality environment.** To distinguish from the popular AR products, some ‘real’ AR products, such as HoloLens and Magic Leap, promote and define themselves using the term Mixed Reality (MR). In the MR environments, the virtual objects are placed into the real world space realistically. The virtual objects look, sound, and behave like real objects that are able to interact with the environment around them and also each other. In a sense, the virtual objects in mixed reality are real objects without a tangible body. From the perspective of technology, MR is harder than AR and VR. Fig. 3 demonstrates an example of MR environments. Users can observe that the virtual robot is sitting on a real sofa. His left leg is blocked by the table. Such kind of spatial occlusion makes it look like a real robot. Additional digital information of the robot and real objects is also displayed.

2.2. Urban data

In addition to the immersive environments, another key feature of immersive urban analytics is the content—that is to say the data to display. In immersive urban analytics, the data of an object can be divided into two types, namely, physical and abstract data.

**Physical data.** The physical data identifies and depicts an object in the physical world, which answers questions such as “what does the building look like?” and “where is the building?” This kind of data, which is related to 3D phenomena and visualized in a 3D manner, is the primary research object in scientific visualization. Using physical data, we can reconstruct the geometry and appearance of a building.

**Abstract data.** The abstract data describes the properties of an object, which answers questions such as “how much is the building?” and “what is the population density of this region?” Abstract data, usually visualized in a 2D manner, is the primary research object in information visualization and visual analytics. Abstract data can help users discover hidden knowledge.

The spatial representation is the major difference between physical and abstract data in which the former is given, whereas the latter is selected (Munzner, 2008).

3. Typology

In immersive urban analytics, physical and abstract data are visualized: the map is created based on physical data, whereas other spatial–temporal data is displayed for visual analytics. Integrating the visualization of physical and abstract data has always been a critical topic in immersive analytics. Additionally, the real objects, which can be interacted with the digital content, may be part of the visualization, thereby leading to difficulties in creating holistic visualizations. To address this problem, we first propose a model to characterize the visualization in immersive urban analytics. Based on this model, we then deduce a typology that classifies the ways in which physical and abstract data are visually integrated into three categories, namely, linked view, embedded view, and mixed view. Finally, we propose two novel design considerations, specifically, visual geometry and spatial distribution, to guide a visualization developer to design a hybrid physical/abstract data visualization.

3.1. Visualization model of immersive urban analytics

To realize an effective way of visually integrating physical and abstract data, we first require to identify the manners through which we can achieve the integration. We attempt to consider this problem from an abstract aspect rather than using an exhaustive method, by proposing a theoretical model to depict the visualization in immersive urban analytics.

It is well known that physical data is usually visualized in 3D and abstract data is visualized in 2D. In other words, there are two kinds of rendering spaces in the visualization of immersive urban analytics, namely, three-dimensional space and two-dimensional space. We refer to the three-dimensional space as the physical space, and the two-dimensional one as the abstract space. The definitions demonstrate the rendering spaces of data in immersive urban analytics. However, it still remains unclear that how users perceive these data. According to Ware (Ware, 2008, Chapter 5) and Munzner (Munzner, 2014, Chapter 6), most of the visual information we perceive is on a 2D image plane, whereas the third
We formulatethemodelasfollows:

$V = \bigcup_{i \in \text{Items}} (p \circ r)(i)$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the $V$ is the visualization that users perceive on the image plane; the $\text{Items}$ denotes the set of data items to be displayed; the $r$ represents a rendering method mapping from data to representations in visual spaces; the $p$ denotes a graphical projection that projects visual spaces onto image plane.

With this model, we can characterize VR, AR, and MR environments and depict the visualizations within them:

- The VR environments is the basic condition (for our model) since there are no real objects. Only the physical and abstract data, which are displayed in physical and abstract spaces, respectively, need to be considered. We formulate the VR environments as follows:

$V_{\text{VR}} = \bigcup_{i \in \text{AD}} (p \circ r_{\text{AS}})(i) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \text{PD}} (p \circ r_{\text{PS}})(i)$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\text{AD}$ and $\text{PD}$ are the data sets of abstract data and physical data respectively; $r_{\text{AS}}$ and $r_{\text{PS}}$ are rendering methods mapping from data to representations in abstract space and physical space respectively. The VR environments is the default situation for our model. Fig. 4 presents an example of VR environment depicted by our model. In Fig. 4, both $\text{A1}$ and $\text{A2}$ are abstract spaces. They are in 2D spaces in which the abstract data is rendered. $P$ is the physical space, which is 3D space where the physical data is rendered.

- In the AR environments, the space where the real objects exist is a physical space since the real world is three dimensional. Although the physical data is usually displayed in 3D, in the AR environment, the virtual content is displayed in 2D and overlaid directly on top of the real objects, which means both the physical data and abstract data are displayed in the abstract space. This condition can be formulate as follows:

$V_{\text{AR}} = \bigcup_{i \in \text{AD} \cup \text{PD}} (p \circ r_{\text{AS}})(i) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \text{RO}} (p \circ r_{\text{PS}})(i)$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\text{RO}$ is the set of real objects. For example, in Fig. 5, the virtual robot, which is the physical data, is rendered in $\text{A2}$ and directly overlaid on top of the physical space $P$.

- In the MR environments, the physical data is rendered and blended with the reality, and displayed in the real world, which is a physical space. Only the abstract data is rendered in the abstract space. This condition can be formulated as follows:

$V_{\text{MR}} = \bigcup_{i \in \text{AD} \cup \text{PD}} (p \circ r_{\text{AS}})(i) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \text{RO} \cup \text{PD}} (p \circ r_{\text{PS}})(i)$.  \hspace{1cm} (4)

An example is presented in Fig. 6. The virtual robot, which is a kind of physical data, and the real chair are displayed in the physical space $P$.

In a word, our model is comprehensive and can cover all environments of immersive urban analytics. Next we will show how we can use this model to deduce a typology that classify the ways to visually integrate the physical and abstract data.

3.2. Typology of visual integration of physical and abstract data

Based on our concise model, we transfer the problem of visually integrating the physical and the abstract data to the problem of integrating the projections of the physical and abstract spaces. Thus, we can sort out the basic methods from an illustrative detail of integration methods.

We assume that there are two spaces in the visualization to simplify our discussion. Given that the size of the projection of a space is finite, the two projections of the two spaces are considered to be two faces on an image plane. According to the fundamental theorem of Euclidean geometry, three relationships are observed between two faces on a 2D plane, namely, separation, intersection, and adjacency. Based on these three relationships, we classify the ways to visually integrate physical and abstract data as linked view, embedded view, and mixed view.

We only consider the relationships from the perspective of design purpose. Specifically, the classification is based on the initial/default relationships of the two spaces’ projections, rather than...
Fig. 5. The AR environments depicted by our model. Both $A1$ and $A2$ are abstract spaces. $P$ is the physical space. The virtual robot, which is the physical data, is rendered in $A2$ and directly overlaid on top of the $P$.

Fig. 6. The MR environments depicted by our model. $A$ is a abstract space. $P$ is the physical space, in which both the physical data and real objects are displayed.

Fig. 7. Our typology classifies the ways to visually integrate physical and abstract data into three categories: (1) in linked views, the physical space and abstract space are separated; (2) in embedded views, the physical space intersects with the abstract space; and (3) in mixed views, the physical and the abstract spaces are placed adjacent so that the content can cross from one space to another space.

the relationships in real time, since in the immersive environments the users’ viewpoints change frequently and the relationships between the projections of spaces are changed accordingly. Specifically, the projections between two spaces might separate, intersect, or juxtapose to each others based on the users’ viewpoints. We refer these relationships as semantic relationships to distinguish from the spatial relationships. Waqas et al. proposed a theoretical model (Javed and Elmqvist, 2012) which adopts a few similar concepts such as juxtaposition and superimposition. However, their model aims to identify the design space of coordinated multiple views of abstract data in desktop environments based on the spatial relationships of views. Hence their model is different from ours and it is not suitable for the immersive urban analytics. We denote our work as a typology rather than a taxonomy because the former is appropriate for classifying abstract concepts, while the latter is appropriate for classifying empirically observable events (Green, 1996).

Linked view (Fig. 7(1)), or coordinated multiple views, has been widely used in urban visual analytics and become standard approaches to display temporal and spatial data (Andrienko et al., 2010). In a linked view, the physical and abstract spaces are separated. Typically, in a visual analytics system with linked views, the views for physical (generally the maps) and for abstract data
works of visual urban analytics (Ferreira et al., 2013; Andrienko et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2011; Andrienko et al., 2013; Cnovrsanin et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011, 2013; Huang et al., 2016; Andrienko and Andrienko, 2011; Scheepens et al., 2011; Tominski et al., 2012; Buchin et al., 2011; Guo and Zhu, 2014; Zeng et al., 2013), we propose two plain and comprehensive design considerations, namely visual geometry and spatial distribution, that fully utilize the characteristics of data to help designers shortlist the candidates.

### Visual geometry

The first design consideration. The geometry of data determines the appearance of the data. The geometry of physical data (PG) is provided, whereas that of abstract data (AD) is selected. For example, the geometry of a road in the map is a line, whereas the geometry of the traffic flow data could be a line in a line chart or a bar in a bar chart.

### Spatial distribution

Data in its visual space is the second consideration. In this study, we only consider the relative position of data in spaces, which are not changed with the movement of the space. We consider this factor because the spatial distribution determines whether the data in two spaces is visually coherent or not. Hereafter, we refer to the distribution of physical and abstract data as PD and AD.

With these two design considerations, we can quickly decide which kind of view we should use based on some heuristic rules (Table 1). For an object we want to visualize:

- If the PG and AD of an object have the same geometric primitive, and its PD and AD are consistent, then the embedded view should be used;
- else if the PG and AD of an object have the same geometric primitive, but its PD and AD are inconsistent, then the mixed view should be used;
- else if the PG and AD of an object have a different geometric primitive, and its PD and AD are inconsistent, then the linked view should be used.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD = AD</th>
<th>PD ¹ AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG = AG</td>
<td>Embedded view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG ¹ AG</td>
<td>Linked view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- PD: Physical distribution
- PG: Physical geometry
- AD: Abstract distribution
- AG: Abstract geometry

Fig. 9 shows some examples to effectively illustrate our main idea. The first row shows the case of using the embedded view to show the data of a region. A1 is a map of a certain region, and A2 is a KDE map that displays the population density of the region. The use of the embedded view (Fig. 9(A3)) is suitable because the geometry of the region and KDE map are both planes, and the distributions of locations in the region and kernels in the KDE map are consistent. Practical examples can be found in Liu et al. (2011, 2013), Huang et al. (2016), Andrienko and Andrienko (2011), Scheepens et al. (2011) and Tominski et al. (2012).

The second row displays the case of the mixed view, showing the data of buildings. B1 indicates the three buildings on a 3D map. B2 is a Sankey diagram that shows the energy consumption of buildings in two months. Both geometries of these data are planes, but the distributions are inconsistent. Therefore, we use a mixed view to integrate these two data together. One famous practical example is shown in Fig. 8.

The last row presents the case of the linked view to show data of on several buildings. C1 displays several colored buildings divided into two categories. C2 is a stacked area chart that depicts the statistics of different categories. The conclusion that the geometry and distribution of the region in C1 and C2 are different is easy. Thus, a linked view is used. Examples in existing work can be found in Ferreira et al. (2013), Andrienko et al. (2011), Guo et al. (2011) and Andrienko et al. (2013).

3.3 Design considerations

Based on our typology, when designing visualizations for physical and abstract data in immersive environments, the designers must select a proper way of visualizing the hybrid data from the linked, embedded, and mixed views. Based on some representative examples of views (generally the information charts) are often displayed in parallel. These views are usually synchronized to display various aspects of the data of an object. Linked view is very easy to implement and introduce less occlusions. Although linked view is a powerful and effective visualization method in urban visual analytics, additional screen real estate is required to show the views. Moreover, linked view requires users to mentally relate the physical data to the abstract data, thereby leading to a considerable mental burden of memory context switching.

**Embedded view**, or integrated view (Fig. 7(2)), displays physical and abstract data in the same view, providing users with a coherent experience when exploring the data. In an embedded view, the physical space intersects with the abstract space. Embedded view has also been widely used in urban visual analytics. In most of existing visual analytics systems with embedded views, the abstract space is encapsulated in the physical space. Any kinds of spatial data can be visualized using the embedded view, and these data can be drawn on the map based on locations. However, the visual representations of data in embedded views usually are basic geometry with colors, such as points, lines, flow map, contour map, etc. Embedding the complex information charts in a map, which may lead to severe occlusion of other useful map information, is difficult. Moreover, unjustified embedded view potentially causes harmful mutual interference between physical and abstract data.

**Mixed view** is a novel form to visually merge physical and abstract data. In a mixed view (Fig. 7(3)), the physical and the abstract spaces are placed adjacent to each other in a proper way for the physical data to move across the boundary of physical space and to “grow” into the abstract space. Fig. 8 presents a famous example of the mixed view from the book *Envision Information* by Edward Tufte (Tufte, 1990, Chapter 1). In this Japanese travel guide, the railway extends from the detailed map view, crosses the boundary, and merges into the overview of the national railroad system. A person may consider the mixed view as an explicitly linked view. However, in a mixed view, the contents in the physical and abstract spaces are visually continuous, whereas these contents are visually discrete in a linked view.

We briefly summarize the advantages of the mixed view. First, compared to the linked view, although the mixed view also separates physical and abstract spaces, this method allows users to switch context more smoothly and naturally. Second, the mixed view is more informative than the embedded view because the mixed view avoids the occlusion and mutual interference problems, giving designers a free hand to design information visualizations. Third, by merging physical and abstract data harmoniously, the mixed view engages users with vivid visualizations, thereby stimulating the interest of users in the data. The mixed view is not only a useful tool for data analysts to dig insights, but also an excellent communication design to present a complicated data to laymen.

However, the mixed view still has certain limitations. First, the design space of the mixed view is uncertain. Second, some accessorial techniques are still waiting to be developed. For example, we will need a new layout algorithm that “grows” physical data from physical space to abstract space. A new deformation algorithm that reconfigures the data for a good mixing is also needed. These limitations are mainly caused by the lack of relevant studies. We believe these limitations will be tackled in the future with an extensive investigation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules for selecting a view based on our design considerations.</th>
<th>PD = AD</th>
<th>PD ¹ AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG = AG</td>
<td>Embedded view</td>
<td>Mixed view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG ¹ AG</td>
<td>Linked view</td>
<td>Linked view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. Guide for Visitors to Ise Shrine. In this figure, the railway extends from the detailed map view, crosses the boundary, and merges into the overview of the national railroad system.

Fig. 9. Choosing a way to visually integrate physical and abstract data based on our design considerations, namely, visual geometry and spatial distribution. Top: The situation to select the embedded view. Middle: the situation to select the mixed view. Bottom: the situation to select the linked view.

3.4. Geometry transformation

In Table 1, an empty cell corresponding to the conditions that PD and AD are consistent, whereas PG and AG are inconsistent. We believe that when the data fall into this situation, the three views are inappropriate for visualizing the data. For example, the first row in Fig. 9 indicates that the KDE map can be converted to multiple bars distributed on a 2D plane. However, embedding
these bars directly onto the 2D map, which may cause occlusion and visual clutter problems, is an inappropriate choice. This situation can be resolved by selecting another visual representation of abstract data to change the AG or applying certain techniques to deform the PG. By this means, the AG and PG become consistent, so that the embedded view can be used, such as Sun et al. (2016), which broaden a road to convert its geometry from line to plane.

4. Research opportunities

Given the immersive analytics is a new research thrust emerging recently, few research works have been proposed related to immersive urban analytics. Based on our typology, we survey existing works related to immersive urban analytics from academia and industry. We regard these works as an initial step to identify the research opportunities to be further explored and pursued. In the following section, we will discuss the technical challenges and future research.

4.1. Adapt the linked view

Linked view has been widely used in urban visual analytics on traditional Personal Computer (PC). Many researchers use linked views to display temporal information. Ferreira et al. built TaxiVis to visualize data on taxi trips including origin–destination (OD) data (Ferreira et al., 2013). In their system, a line-chart is used to show the weekly number of taxi trips in New York City. Andrienko et al. (2011) visualized multiple trajectories by combining a time graph with a map. Guo et al. (2011) developed a visual analytics system with a stacked graph and a scatter plot in linked view for temporal data. In addition, spatial data can be visualized in a linked view. Andrienko et al. proposed a methodology that converts the trajectories of individuals from geographical space into an abstract space to analyze the movement behavior of individuals, whose positions are mapped onto the abstract space as points that move in a group (Andrienko et al., 2013). Crnovrsanin et al. proposed the notion of proximity-based visualization to map the multi-dimensional data set consisting of proximity values to an abstract space instead of the given spatial layout (Crnovrsanin et al., 2009).

Although the linked view becomes one of the standard approaches to display data in urban visual analytics, it has rarely been used in immersive environments. Accordingly, one interesting future research direction is to adapt the linked view designs to immersive environments. Recently, some initial efforts have been done in this direction. Cybulski et al. presented a project (Cybulski et al., 2014) that investigates how immersive environments could be used to support complex collaborative decision-making through the analysis of intuitive 3D models of business data. Their systems are developed in VR environments (Fig. 10), using two linked views to visualize additional abstract data. However, the linked views in their system are not interactive. Given the interaction methods in immersive environments are various from traditional PC environments, it is critical to revise some linked view designs to better suit the new environments. For example, Urbane (Ferreira et al., 2015) adopted a parallel coordinates linked view in which the users can brush the plot to filter or highlight data by using mouse. However, it is difficult to brush the plot using gesture, since the accuracy and sensitivity of gesture cannot be guaranteed.

Another potential research opportunity is to utilize the linked view in other immersive environments, e.g., AR and MR. Different from the VR environments, the AR and MR environments contain real world objects, which should be addressed differently from digital content ranging from interaction to visualization. The interaction and visualization methods should not only provide natural and intuitive user experience, but also help users to distinguish the digital content from real objects.

4.2. Improve the embedded view

Embedded view is a popular choice for displaying urban data in immersive environments. Several immersive urban analytics projects adopt the embedded views in their visualizations. Yoo utilized 3D hexagonal grid cells, which are overlaid directly on the 3D map, to reveal the geo-tagged tweets related to anti-government protest in London (Yoo, 2016). Moran et al. attempted to study the geographic distributions of Twitter data by developing a 3D application utilizing an HMD VR setting (Moran et al., 2015). However, they only displayed tweets with geo-tags on a 3D map. Users can explore those tweets through simple interactions. Treyer et al. proposed a narrative animated video for urban data analysis. They created animated visualizations of urban data, such as landmarks and traffic information, and then composed it over video footage using Blender (Treyer et al., 2013). Based on Microsoft HoloLens, HololMaps (Taqtile, 2017) has been introduced to display real-time urban data. Examples including traffic flow and tweets with geo-tags are visualized on Microsoft Bing Maps 3D to allow users to explore a city in an immersive environment. It still has several possible avenues for future work to improve embedded views.

One possible avenue is to address the occlusion problem caused by intersecting between the physical and abstract space. Most of the existing methods adopt a strategy that directly overlays abstract data on top of physical data, which may lead to occlusion problems. For example, although HololMaps (Taqtile, 2017) can display rich real-time urban data (Fig. 11), the visualizations of abstract urban data introduce annoying occlusions which block both the physical data and other abstract data. These occlusions force users to spend more time to navigate the visualization during analysis. Moreover, in some cases, the users cannot get rid of the occlusions no matter how he/she changes his/her viewpoint. How to properly tackle the occlusion problems in embedded view is a problem waiting to be solved.

Another possible avenue is to extend the design space of embedded view. The visual representations of data in embedded views usually are basic geometry with colors, such as points, lines, flow map, contour map, etc. Embedding the complex information charts in a map is difficult, since it may lead to severe occlusion of other useful map information and mutual interference between physical and abstract data. A promising research direction is to extend the design space of embedded view by utilizing the power of immersive technologies.

4.3. Explore the mixed view

A study on mixed view would be valuable because existing works related to this field are limited. The mixed view is rarely used in urban visual analytics. To the best of our knowledge, we only find a mixed view example from manual design (Fig. 8). The design space of mixed view is inaccessible. The answers to the primary questions, such as when to use mixed view, how to use mixed view, and what are the types of mixed view, are still unclear. Additionally, the accessorlal techniques of mixed view are lacking, such as layout algorithms to generate mixed view and interaction techniques to support users to analyze and consume data through mixed view.

5. Discussion

Immersive technologies are attracting serious attention from the field of visualization because of the popularity of low-cost, high-quality immersive modalities, such as HTC VIVE, Oculus Rift, and Microsoft HoloLens. These revolutionary technologies provide users with more engagements and organic experience; to quote Mathew Schlepper (Schnipper, 2017), “It’s man meets machine,
but what happens is strictly within the mind”. Although these modalities themselves are not new, researchers’ interest is renewed because such low-cost consumer hardware presents more opportunities than ever before to deliver research contributions related to immersive environments to end users. Given the native support of 3D visualization, the rise of immersive technologies provides good opportunities to significantly expand the frontiers of visual urban analytics.

In the wake of this surging wave of immersive technologies, we take the first step to explore the possibilities of utilizing these promising technologies in urban science. Our work blazes a trail in thinking how physical and abstract data can be integrated visually from the perspectives of visual space instead of detailed visual designs. The significance of our works is revealed from multiple perspectives. We first propose an abstract model that characterizes the visualization of immersive urban analytics. Our model is concise and comprehensive, covering all three types of immersive environments (VR, AR, and MR). Based on this model, we deduce a taxonomy that sorts out the ways to design hybrid physical and abstract data visualization. Additionally, our taxonomy helps us recognize the mixed views to visually integrate physical and abstract data that takes the advantages of both linked views and embedded views. As the research field of mixed view is inaccessible, this work can hopefully shed new light and arouse interest for further research. We also propose two plain and comprehensive design considerations to assist designers in designing visualizations that integrate physical data and abstract data. Our typology and design considerations can be applied in both immersive environments and traditional PC environments.

However, our work still involves certain limitations. First, most of the immersive technologies utilize stereoscopy to create stereograms, presenting two offset images separately to the left and right eyes of a user. For simplification, our model treats these double image planes as one image plane. It remains unknown that whether human perceive the stereograms in a different way from the traditional images on a monitor screen. Our model can be refined along with further research on the human cognition of stereograms. Second, only the conditions of consistency and inconsistency are included in the design considerations. However, in some sophisticated information visualization, the visual geometry of an object can be vary, indicating that the visual geometry in abstract space could be partially consistent with the visual geometry in physical space. Third, the design considerations only cover the basic situation where only one view needs to be addressed. The method of designing multiple views in an immersive urban analytics system requires a further study. Nevertheless, with the rapid development of immersive technologies in the near future, these drawbacks can be tackled by further research.

Fig. 10. Cybulski et al. used linked view in their system (Cybulski et al., 2014) to display additional digital information besides the physical data.

Fig. 11. The HoloMaps (Taqtile, 2017) can display real-time urban data. Examples include weather information and tweets with geo-tags on Microsoft Bing Maps 3D are embedded in the 3D city model to allow users to explore a city in an immersive environment.
6. Conclusion

In this work, we study and explore the ways to design hybrid physical and abstract data visualizations in immersive urban analytics, which to our knowledge, is the first attempt at systemically characterizing the problem. An innovative theoretical model is introduced to characterize the visualizations in immersive urban analytics. A new typology is deduced based on the model, identifying the ways to visually integrate physical and abstract data in an immersive environment. Following the typology, two design considerations are proposed to guide designing hybrid physical and abstract data visualizations. Using our typology and design considerations, designers can readily select a proper way of integrating the visualizations of physical and abstract data. Several examples are given to demonstrate the usefulness of our design considerations.

There are several possible avenues for future work. A study on mixed view would be valuable because existing research related to this field is limited. We also plan to use our typology to guide the design of immersive urban analytics applications based on real-world data set.
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